

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/559,539	12/02/2005	Yuji Kawamori	OGW-0405	9137	
Patrick G. Bur	7590 07/03/200 ns - Greer, Burns & Cr	EXAM	EXAMINER		
300 South Was	cker Drive, Suite 2500	PARKER, FREDERICK JOHN			
Chicago, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1792		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/03/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/559,539	KAWAMORI, YUJI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Frederick J. Parker	1792		

	Fledelick J. Falkel	1792						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 23 June 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must limely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appendors for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire le Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) of MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706 07 (	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date wave been filled is he date for purposes of determining the period civil under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any pely received by the Office may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as					
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
<ol> <li>∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo- (c) ∑ They are not deemed to place the application in bett social and/or</li> </ol>	sideration and/or search (see NOT v);	E below);						
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.						
4. ☑ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all	11. See attached Notice of Non-Con	,	,					
non-allowable claim(s).	owabie ii submitted iii a separate, t	imely filed amendmen	it canceling the					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-12. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an e	xplanation of					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•						
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but</li> </ol>	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). ( 13.  Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							

/Frederick J. Parker/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792 Continuation of 3. NOTE: The Examiner has considered Applicants' proposed amendments and arguments. The correction to claim 1 obviously overcomes the claim objection. Claim amendments as proposed cannot be entered because, while it is true claims 2-3 now incorporated into claim 1, have been considered, the incorporation of claim 3 would require formation of a new rejection under 35 USC 103 not currently present, hence further consideration. Also, at this point it would not appear to place the claims in better form for advancing prosecution.

The Examiner read with interest Applicants' explanation as to the meaning of proposed claim 1. Applicants arguments on page 6 are directed towards a backtracking step. The Examiner has tried to find this in the claim as written but it is not apprent what the Applicants mean as no back-tracking per se is cited or apparent. The Examiner suggests if this is the case the claims may be unclearly worded, and that he would consider a brief and constructive interview to allow Applicants to explain what is intended. In the meantime, the Examiner does not see any difference between the prior art and claims and accordingly maintains the rejections.